

OSHA'S RAGAGEP

EXPLAINED

DEFINITION

RECOGNIZED

AND

GENERALLY

ACCEPTED

GOOD

ENGINEERING

PRACTICES

THE PSM STANDARD, 29 CFR 1910.119, DIRECTLY REFERENCES OR IMPLIES THE USE OF RAGAGEP IN THREE PROVISIONS:

•(D)(3)(II): EMPLOYERS MUST DOCUMENT THAT ALL EQUIPMENT IN PSM-COVERED PROCESSES COMPLIES WITH RAGAGEP;

•(J)(4)(II): INSPECTIONS AND TESTS ARE PERFORMED ON PROCESS EQUIPMENT SUBJECT TO THE STANDARD'S MECHANICAL INTEGRITY REQUIREMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH RAGAGEP; AND

•(J)(4)(III): INSPECTION AND TEST FREQUENCY FOLLOWS MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND GOOD ENGINEERING PRACTICE, AND MORE FREQUENTLY IF INDICATED BY OPERATING EXPERIENCE.

EXAMPLES

WIDELY ADOPTED CODES

NFPA 70 NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODES

CONSENSUS DOCUMENTS
ASME B31.3 PROCESS PIPING CODE

INTERNAL STANDARDS

EMPLOYERS MAY DEVELOP INTERNAL STANDARDS FOR USE WITHIN THEIR FACILITIES

NON-CONSENSUS DOCUMENTS CHLORINE INSTITUTE'S (CI)

"PAMPHLETS"

OSHA REFERENCES CI PAMPHLETS
AS AN EXAMPLE OF
"NON-CONSENSUS DOCUMENTS"

SHOULD VS. SHALL

OSHA-DEFINED LANGUAGE

SHALL / MUST

MANDATORY MINIMUM REQUIREMENT

IF EMPLOYER CHOOSES "SHALL" OR "MUST" AND DEVIATES, OSHA WILL PRESUME A VIOLATION

SHALL NOT/PROHIBITED

UNACCEPTABLE

IF EMPLOYER CHOOSES "SHALL NOT" OR "PROHIBITED" AND DEVIATES,
OSHA WILL PRESUME A VIOLATION

SHOULD

ACCEPTABLE, PREFERRED

IF EMPLOYER CHOOSES "SHOULD" AND DEVIATES, OSHA WILL NOT PRESUME A VIOLATION IF NOT FOLLOWED

SHOULD NOT

DISFAVORED

IF EMPLOYER CHOOSES "SHOULD NOT" AND DEVIATES, OSHA WILL NOT PRESUME A VIOLATION IF NOT FOLLOWED

READ OSHA'S MEMO EXPLAINING RAGAGEP: <u>HTTP://BIT.LY/3TPTJP9</u>