



SHLB

SCHOOLS, HEALTH & LIBRARIES  
BROADBAND COALITION



# Policy Platform

2025

# Table of Contents

1

About SHLB

2

Message to  
Our Members

3

Our Top 2025  
Priorities

4

The Universal  
Service Fund

5

The E-Rate  
Program

6

The Rural Health  
Care Program

7

Broadband  
Deployment

8

Pole Attachments

9

Digital  
Opportunity

10

Wireless &  
Spectrum

11

Acknowledgements

12

2024 Filings

# About SHLB

## OUR MISSION

Anchor institutions like schools, libraries, and healthcare clinics are bedrock resources in our communities. They promote broadband deployment and adoption and provide essential digital services for vulnerable populations. As such, anchors deserve a seat at the table, a voice, and a prominent place in our nation's broadband policy framework.

This is why the Schools, Health & Libraries Broadband Coalition (SHLB), a nonprofit organization, advocates for federal and state policies and programs (including the E-Rate and Rural Health Care programs) that enable anchor institutions to obtain and promote open, secure, high-quality broadband services to support connectivity and opportunity for all.

Through our advocacy and educational efforts, we foster a vision where every anchor institution and their community can have affordable, robust, and secure broadband services of their choosing. This enables people to enjoy a higher quality of life and participate fully in society, democracy, and the economy throughout their daily lives.

## OUR VALUES

### MISSION DRIVEN

We advance broadband and digital opportunities for communities.

### INCLUSIVE

We collaborate with diverse voices to drive impact.

### VISIONARY

We embrace innovation and advocate for future-focused policies.

### NONPARTISAN

We foster consensus and steer clear of partisanship.

### KNOWLEDGEABLE

We leverage facts, research, and expertise to inform policy.

## OUR MEMBERS

SHLB convenes hundreds of member organizations from across the broadband ecosystem that work cooperatively to inform and shape the organization's advocacy work and achieve policy outcomes.

While our members are diverse, they recognize the importance of anchor institutions, and help to drive SHLB's mission forward. We conduct regular member meetings on a variety of broadband issues primarily revolving around the Universal Service Fund, E-Rate, rural healthcare, spectrum, deployment and digital opportunity.



# Message to Our Members

Dear SHLB members,

Last year, our direct advocacy efforts led to **remarkable success** at both the FCC and in Congress. In E-Rate, SHLB was instrumental in driving reform for the FCC's hotspot lending and cybersecurity pilot programs—key components of former Chairwoman Rosenworcel's Learn Without Limits initiative. We also successfully defended the interests of schools and libraries when the "Eyes on the Board" legislation was introduced, which directly implicated a school's ability to obtain E-Rate funding.

We also expanded our educational offerings to both the public and SHLB members. Our webinar series explored a wide range of topics, including how libraries can engage in the BEAD state challenge process, insights into the 5th Circuit's USF decision, guidance on preparing for the FCC's hotspot lending and cybersecurity pilot programs, and what to anticipate with the changes in the presidential administration.

AnchorNets 2024 was our best event to date, featuring more workshops than ever before, increased participation from the FCC and USAC, and thought-provoking keynote speakers—highlighted by a meaningful discussion on women leaders in the telecommunications industry. Our talented and hardworking SHLB team also coordinated a successful event dedicated to pole attachment issues, where experts shared varying opinions about challenges and best practices.

## **Of course, our work doesn't end there.**

The Universal Service Fund is extremely vulnerable, not only to judicial review by the Supreme Court, but by increased Congressional scrutiny about how the programs are funded and structured.

Spectrum policy is also expected to be a key focus in 2025, with the potential to impact anchor institutions' ability to access shared spectrum and utilize unlicensed spectrum. These options can be critical to help anchors build new broadband networks that provide affordable connectivity to community members.

While the FCC has made progress on pole attachments, there is still much to be done to address ongoing issues that prevent pole owners and attachers from finding effective solutions to support broadband deployment.

And while states made significant strides in developing plans to support digital opportunity and broadband adoption initiatives, we remain uncertain about how changes in the NTIA's administration might impact this progress.

## **SHLB's advocacy is crucial now more than ever to safeguard USF programs and champion deployment and adoption initiatives.**

As always, we look forward to working with our members to ensure that anchor institutions have access to high-speed broadband so they can connect their communities and bridge the digital divide.



Kristen Corra  
Policy Counsel, SHLB



# Our Top 2025 Priorities

This year's policy platform highlights the various broadband issues that SHLB plans to prioritize in 2025. Within each topic, we look for opportunities to advocate for anchor institutions and their communities at the federal and state level. While we highlight many issues in this platform, these remain only guideposts to the policy work that we might accomplish throughout the year. SHLB always remains flexible in its efforts and stands ready to respond to the dynamic policy landscape.

## 1

### Preserving the USF & its Programs

The future of the \$8.5 billion Universal Service Fund (USF), which supports broadband access in schools, libraries, and rural communities, currently hangs in the balance as the Consumers' Research case moves forward in the Supreme Court. Additionally, the USF remains vulnerable to the increasing contribution factor, which reached an unprecedented 36.3% in Q1 2025. SHLB is prioritizing ways to educate Congress about the importance of the USF programs, especially E-Rate and Rural Health Care, so that any future reform recognizes the need for a stable, consistent funding mechanism.

## 2

### Swift Broadband Deployment (That Also Connects Anchors)

States developed extensive plans under the BEAD program to build out broadband infrastructure to unserved and underserved communities and anchor institutions. With changes in the White House and NTIA this year, SHLB seeks to ensure that federal and state officials don't lose this momentum and remain focused on this historical opportunity to bridge the digital divide. We also remain steadfast that infrastructure opportunities should also recognize the unique broadband needs of anchor institutions, and that the FCC should resolve pole attachment issues that delay deployment.

## 3

### Sustainable Digital Opportunity Efforts

Broadband adoption—which encompasses both the ability to afford Internet as well as the ability to use the Internet via digital skills—remains as critical an issue as deploying the physical infrastructure. SHLB seeks to advocate for ways that policymakers and government leaders recognize the value of digital opportunity through support for sustainable digital skills training, digital navigators, and other programs. We will also continue to ask Congress to put into place a permanent affordable broadband solution.



# The Universal Service Fund

SHLB is dedicated to supporting the success and continuation of the Universal Service Fund (USF), especially given the critical funding it provides to schools and libraries through the E-Rate program and to healthcare providers through the Rural Health Care program. Currently, there are various legal, funding, and programmatic challenges that threaten the USF's continued success. In 2025, SHLB will meet with FCC and Congressional leaders to highlight USF funding benefits for anchors and the people they serve. We will also continue to defend the preservation of USF in the courts and establish recommendations that support a stable, long-term support mechanism.

## DEMONSTRATING THE IMPORTANCE OF USF FUNDING

SHLB members have an immense amount of information about how the E-Rate and Rural Health Care programs support broadband connectivity and Internet access to schools, libraries, healthcare providers, and the communities they serve. This year, we aim to educate Congress and the FCC commissioners by providing them with data demonstrating how USF funds directly benefit their stakeholders—and the very negative consequences that would result if these funds went away. SHLB plans to publish case studies demonstrating successful E-Rate and Rural Health Care funding outcomes, and is coordinating a Washington DC fly-in so that members can speak directly with their Congressional representatives.

## DEFENDING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE USF

Over the past two years, a group called Consumers' Research has filed suit in several appellate courts, alleging that the quarterly USF contribution factor is unconstitutional. SHLB intervened in all of these cases to support the FCC's defense of the USF, arguing that Congress provided sufficient guidance in Section 254 of the 1996 Communications Act to satisfy the Supreme Court's "intelligible principle" test. Now, this suit has made its way to the Supreme Court, with SHLB participating once again as a party to the case and continuing to support the preservation of the USF. SHLB has already led the filing of its opening brief (along with other public interest groups) and plans to file a reply brief in March. While we don't yet know the outcome of this case, SHLB will stay at the forefront of whatever future action might be necessary to address any threats to the USF.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR USF REFORM

Outside of the current legal challenges, there is still the need for programmatic USF reform. One area of continued concern is the growing contribution factor, which rose to 36.3% in Q1 of 2025. SHLB will continue to work with other stakeholders to recommend ways that Congress can reform the contribution base so that the program can remain a stable funding source for anchor institutions. SHLB also intends to oppose efforts to fund the USF through Congressional appropriations. Doing this will not provide a sustainable and predictable source of revenue. At the same time, we will also ensure that any potential USF reform Congress seeks does not hinder the current success of the E-Rate and Rural Health Care programs.



# The E-Rate Program

We were very successful last year at the FCC when it came to the E-Rate Program. The FCC adopted rules governing a new hotspot lending initiative and set into motion the highly anticipated Schools and Libraries Cybersecurity Pilot Program. SHLB has called for the FCC to make funding available for Wi-Fi hot spots and cybersecurity for several years, and we were very active in the rulemaking proceedings for both proposals. Turning to 2025, we will continue to monitor the effectiveness of these programs, advocate for additional program changes that would benefit schools, libraries, and the communities they serve, and continue to defend the importance and impact of dependable E-Rate funding.

## HOTSPOT LENDING & SCHOOL BUS WI-FI

While SHLB supports the FCC's Wi-Fi hotspot lending initiative, we asked the Commission to extend support beyond traditional mobile Wi-Fi hotspots and mobile service. Anchor institutions have found innovative ways to connect their students off-campus that involve other wireless equipment/service, especially in rural areas where traditional mobile service is non-existent or is too unreliable to support indoor learning. SHLB filed a Petition for Reconsideration with the FCC on this matter in 2024 and will continue to advocate funding for these technologies within E-Rate's budgeting mechanism, and especially when use of such technologies can be less costly than more traditional Wi-Fi hotspots.

Legal challenges were filed against the FCC's school bus Wi-Fi and Wi-Fi hotspot lending programs, and SHLB will continue to participate in these cases. Further, a change in FCC leadership may put these programs at risk. SHLB will organize advocacy and educational efforts with the FCC and Congressional members to defend these programs. During our Washington D.C. fly-in, members can share first-hand knowledge about the benefits of E-Rate and the consequences should it go away. We are also working with our members to create a publication showcasing stories about how E-Rate has made a big difference in their schools, libraries, and communities.

## SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES CYBERSECURITY PILOT PROGRAM

We were excited to see the FCC grant 707 cybersecurity proposals from all 50 states – including many SHLB members – on January 17, 2025. SHLB aims to monitor the success of the newly enacted cybersecurity pilot program and advocate for a permanent program and future policies to ensure that schools and libraries can adequately protect their networks. We also still believe that the FCC should update the eligible services list to allow E-Rate support for firewalls with more advanced features.

## STREAMLINING THE E-RATE PROGRAM & APPLICATION PROCESSING

The FCC has an open rulemaking from 2023 asking for comment about proposed measures to streamline the E-Rate program, including topics like application processing, eligible services, and cost allocation. SHLB provided recommendations that it believes would help applicants, and will encourage the FCC to turn its attention to this important proceeding. Also, SHLB coordinated a successful in-person workshop with USAC at our annual AnchorNets conference last year. We will continue to foster opportunities for SHLB members to interact with USAC to discuss system processing and simplification to ensure applicants are able to successfully apply for E-Rate funds.





# The RHC Program

The FCC's Third Report and Order (2023) adopted several SHLB recommendations concerning the Rural Health Care (RHC) program. USAC implemented several new application procedures to accommodate these rule changes into the online filing system. Some system changes have caused delay or confusion for RHC applicants. SHLB will continue to meet with USAC and the FCC to ensure that funding remains effective at serving eligible providers with high-speed broadband. We will also stay at the forefront of advocating for any necessary program changes and recommend transparent application processing procedures. We will also strive to ensure that Congress knows how important this funding is to rural areas.

## DEMONSTRATING PROGRAM BENEFITS TO LAWMAKERS

Threats to the USF impact the stability of the RHC program. In addition, the new administration and FCC Leadership pose new challenges. During our Washington D.C. fly-in this year, SHLB members will educate Congress on the critical value the RHC program brings to rural communities that need access to (often life-saving) healthcare. We are also creating a publication that will showcase real-life stories about how RHC program funding has transformed communities and health outcomes.

## TRANSPARENT & SWIFT APPLICATION PROCESSING

SHLB understands that clear funding guidelines and transparent administration of the RHC program are crucial to ensuring fairness and efficiency. Healthcare providers (HCPs) require clear application guidelines, functional application systems (and improved software releases), transparency around application status and system changes, and faster - more timely - funding approvals. Further, clear guidelines provide HCPs with a straightforward understanding of eligibility requirements, funding procedures, and compliance expectations. HCPs also deserve improved communication regarding processing and form changes. SHLB will continue to foster opportunities for its members to meet with the FCC and USAC to discuss program and application processing and recommendations for better, faster outcomes.

## ADVOCATING FOR THE SPECIFIC BROADBAND NEEDS FOR HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS

Healthcare providers in rural areas must recognize the critical importance of high-speed broadband access for improving the quality and efficiency of care. In today's digital age, reliable Internet connectivity is a necessity for providing telehealth services, accessing medical records, and staying connected with specialists and patients. High-speed broadband enables providers to bridge the gap between remote locations and advanced medical resources. SHLB seeks to understand the specific broadband needs of healthcare facilities and advocate for federal policies that support those needs. We will also showcase how the RHC program can be a vital resource for providers looking to enhance their technological capabilities.

## CYBERSECURITY PROTECTION FOR HEALTHCARE NETWORKS

Protecting healthcare networks from cybersecurity attacks is vital as healthcare becomes more digitally interconnected. Rural HCPs often face vulnerabilities due to limited resources and outdated systems. SHLB will monitor any advocacy and educational efforts that support equipping healthcare networks with protections to safeguard sensitive patient data and prevent disruptions in service.





# Broadband Deployment

SHLB's mission is to advocate for policies that expand broadband investment by both commercial and non-commercial providers to offer affordable access to anchor institutions. Many federal broadband infrastructure programs - such as the Broadband Equity, Access, and Deployment (BEAD), Capital Projects Fund (CPF), Connecting Minority Communities (CMC), and the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) programs are being rolled out and implemented. This provides both a challenge and an opportunity for SHLB to monitor not only the challenges and successes of these programs (including requirements and processes), but it provides us with the ability to assess the data driven outcomes these programs effectuate on communities.

## ADVOCATING FOR BEAD PROGRAM SUCCESS

SHLB believes in the goals of the BEAD program to bring high-capacity broadband to every household and anchor institution by the year 2030. We will advocate for BEAD's continuation and will monitor its implementation and data to measure success in meeting this goal. Delays in building out BEAD-funded project areas will very likely exacerbate the digital divide. This is a disservice to the underserved and unserved communities that have already waited too long to get broadband connectivity. We will also advocate for common-sense modifications of implementation requirements, specifically focused on exempting projects from environmental reviews if they are occurring 100% within existing rights-of-way and/or utility corridors. Addressing timely and efficient access to pole infrastructure is also critical for BEAD to achieve its goals.

## SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGY NEUTRAL AND INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE

SHLB recognizes that a robust and high-quality connection, like fiber, offers certain significant advantages and capacity for CAIs, households, and businesses. SHLB also supports programs like BEAD that allow funding for alternative technologies, like unlicensed fixed wireless (ULFW) and low earth orbit (LEO) satellites, when such alternatives are appropriate. To create investment opportunities that will deploy broadband to all unserved and underserved locations, SHLB believes it is imperative that current and future funding opportunities promote investment in high-capacity future-proof technologies where it is economically feasible, and to allow wireless technologies when the costs of maintaining fiber networks are too high. Additionally, SHLB will continue to support innovative broadband deployment models like open access. We also support state-based research and education networks and oppose any proposed state statutes or policies that prohibit such networks.

## SUPPORTING LEARNERS OF ALL AGES

Institutions of higher education remain critical stakeholders within the broadband space, since they require access to high-speed broadband that supports the educational needs of learners of all ages. SHLB supports federal broadband programs and other efforts aimed at assisting these institutions, such as the Connecting Minority Communities pilot program that provided funding for broadband access to Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) and other minority-serving institutions.



## ADVOCATING FOR INCREASED TRIBAL BROADBAND SUPPORT

SHLB commends the various efforts to better serve tribal areas with access to critical broadband infrastructure, such as the NTIA's Tribal Broadband program. But we know that this effort is far from over, and that tribal areas often remain critically underserved. Last year, SHLB conducted a [site visit to the La Jolla Band of Luiseño Indians](#), one of nine local tribes in the North County area of San Diego County, California. The SHLB team toured a school, a healthcare clinic, and a community center to learn how rural tribes are ensuring connectivity and digital equity for their communities. Using lessons learned from these visits, we will monitor current tribal-focused broadband programs to assess if they meet the specific needs of tribal communities. We will also encourage greater participation of tribal libraries, schools, and healthcare providers in the E-Rate and Rural Health Care programs, and ensure that future policies don't leave them behind.

## ENSURING THAT ANCHORS ARE "ON THE MAP"

The FCC's national broadband map (Map), which was used primarily to govern deployment project areas for BEAD, may be used to inform other deployment funding programs in the future. Unfortunately, there is a risk that many unserved and underserved households will remain unconnected due to incomplete or erroneous information in the Map, which disqualified those locations from funding eligibility under BEAD. Additionally, the Map doesn't properly include anchor institutions or the Internet service accessible to them. With any future deployment programs, SHLB will continue to advocate for accurate mapping, and fight to ensure that anchors are also adequately reflected.

## RESTORING BROADBAND QUICKLY AFTER NATURAL DISASTERS

Given several high profile disasters in recent years (mass wildfires, hurricanes), it is important to understand how broadband deployment impacts emergency response. This is especially pertinent as anchor institutions often provide physical places for those made unhoused by natural disasters. SHLB will seek opportunities to educate lawmakers about the importance of restoring broadband access as soon as possible after such an event, especially to anchor institutions, and advocate for policies that achieve this goal, such as making restoration of Internet services eligible for FEMA funding.

# POLE ATTACH- MENTS

Establishing fair utility pole attachment practices remains an important issue for SHLB's members. Last year, SHLB held a successful [policy symposium](#) focused around various pole attachment challenges to continue to focus attention on this issue. We heard from multiple experts about ways to achieve best practices for both pole owners and attachers alike. SHLB will continue to develop and promote common sense pole attachment principles and encourage policymakers at the federal and state level to move forward with solutions. Also, to date, the FCC has not completed a rulemaking that has identified additional solutions for helping to facilitate timely and cost-effective access to pole infrastructure, particularly regarding large projects involving over 3,000 poles. SHLB will continue to push the FCC to complete this outstanding proceeding and address other issues related to pole access that remain unresolved. We will also encourage the FCC to enforce current pole attachment rules.



## SUPPORTING STATE-LEVEL WORKING GROUPS

SHLB will continue to support state-level working groups to expedite the resolution of pole attachment disputes and to encourage parties to work together to quickly and efficiently get access to pole infrastructure. Solutions should be targeted to address pole attachment and pole replacement issues associated with pole infrastructure owned by investor owned utilities, municipalities and cooperatives.

## ESTABLISHING CLEAR TIMELINES FOR “LARGE ORDER” POLE APPLICATION APPROVALS

The FCC has yet to establish defined timelines for “large order” pole application approvals, which is a proposal that SHLB supports. The lack of clarity around timelines for these larger pole attachment approvals often results in delays and disputes that can slow or even stop projects altogether in unserved areas. As large broadband projects are expected in the near future - funded under the BEAD program - we will continue to encourage the FCC to establish final rules around large pole orders.

## ADDRESSING POLE REPLACEMENT COST ALLOCATION

While the FCC has made some progress to implement updated federal pole attachment policies, it has not addressed how to allocate costs between a pole owner and attacher when a utility pole needs to be replaced to accommodate new equipment. SHLB will continue to advocate for rules that support a fair cost allocation formula and encourage the FCC to provide final guidance on this issue.

# Digital Opportunity

SHLB’s mission focuses on anchor institutions having access to high-capacity, reliable and affordable broadband. Broadband access not only helps anchors directly connect their community members to the Internet, but it also aids them in helping members participate in modern society through digital skills programs. States made significant progress in developing digital equity initiatives last year when NTIA opened the application process for both the State Digital Equity Capacity Grant and the Digital Equity Competitive Grant programs. SHLB will continue to monitor the outcome and success of these programs, especially in light of a change in the NTIA’s administration this year. SHLB will also continue to ask the federal government to support long-term programs that provide solutions to make broadband not only accessible, but affordable.

## FUNDING FOR AFFORDABLE BROADBAND ACCESS

Last year, Congress failed to fund the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP), causing it to expire. This was unfortunate and, as a result, millions of households lost their Internet access. Broadband access is critically important, but we know that not everyone can afford it. While we applaud those Internet service providers that have incorporated low-cost options into their service offerings (and states may require affordable options), SHLB continues to urge Congress to establish a permanent affordable broadband program/benefit from a sustainable long-term funding source.



## SUPPORTING STATE-FUNDED DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY INITIATIVES

Funding statewide digital opportunity efforts through the Digital Equity Act (DEA) programs is a historic achievement for our nation. Such progress shouldn't end there. States should also recognize the importance of enhancing digital skills, and we encourage them to build off of the DEA momentum and fund sustainable, state supported efforts. To do this, states could look at how to integrate digital skills development into other state-supported programs, such as workforce development, education, and economic development. Anchor institutions have historically supported digital opportunity initiatives through digital skills development, and a focused effort to support this work can help them grow programs, reach more people, and achieve better outcomes.

# Wireless & Spectrum

Anchor institutions may leverage unlicensed and licensed (including shared-licensed) spectrum to provide services and enhance operations. With anticipated federal-level administration changes in 2025, SHLB will continue to participate in coalitions like Wi-Fi Forward and Spectrum for the Future to ensure that anchors can continue to use unlicensed and shared-licensed spectrum. SHLB also believes that Congress should restore the Commission's authority to auction spectrum licenses as soon as possible, with some of the proceeds made available for local community wireless networks and connectivity.

## ADVOCATING FOR SPECTRUM SHARING

Spectrum sharing optimizes the use of limited radio frequency resources, enabling more efficient and flexible communication systems. Allowing multiple users to coexist on the same spectrum bands also fosters innovation and promotes competition. SHLB recently opposed new FCC proposals seeking to raise power levels and out of band emissions that we believe would undermine spectrum sharing in the CBRS band. We will continue to champion policies that allow for shared spectrum models and educate lawmakers on the benefits of spectrum sharing.

## HIGHLIGHTING ANCHOR-ENABLED NETWORK SOLUTIONS

Anchor institutions have increasingly pursued their own network solutions that offer low-cost service to the surrounding community. For example, schools have used unlicensed spectrum to support campus-wide or community-wide Wi-Fi networks that enable students, faculty, and unserved/underserved areas to access high-speed Internet. SHLB will continue to educate the FCC and members of Congress about these anchor-enabled networks and highlight their benefits to unconnected communities - especially in areas where other connectivity options are non-existent or few.

## ADVOCATING FOR MORE UNLICENSED SPECTRUM

Wi-Fi has been an American success story and SHLB members operate some of the most heavily used Wi-Fi networks in the country. As Wi-Fi devices proliferate and demand for unlicensed spectrum only increases, ensuring that more Wi-Fi/unlicensed spectrum is in the pipeline will be critical for SHLB and its members, as their Wi-Fi networks become congested. SHLB will continue to push for more unlicensed spectrum for Wi-Fi networks in 2025.



# Acknowledgements

The SHLB Coalition is a nonprofit, 501(c)(3) public interest organization, based in Washington, D.C.

The SHLB Coalition is grateful to its over 300 members, including diverse commercial and noncommercial organizations from across the United States, for their continued support.

## CONTACT

1250 Connecticut Avenue NW  
Suite 700 PMB 5234  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
United States

202-263-4626 | [www.shlb.org](http://www.shlb.org)

LinkedIn: SHLB Coalition  
X: @SHLBCoalition

[JOIN OUR COALITION](#)

WE ARE ALSO GRATEFUL FOR THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF OUR CORPORATE SPONSORS:



We thank you for your continued support in our efforts.

# 2024 Filings

## BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT ISSUES

- [October 22, 2024 - SHLB MDU RFI Submission](#)
- [October 9, 2024 - SHLB Dark Fiber Letter](#)
- [September 10, 2024- SHLB Comments Regarding NTIA Alternative Technologies Guidance](#)
- [February 15, 2024 - Library Materials for the BEAD State Challenge Process](#)

## POLE ATTACHMENTS

- [August 9, 2024 - Ex Parte Meeting with FCC Wireline Competition Bureau Regarding Large Order Pole Applications](#)
- [July 26 - SHLB et al Letter to FCC Urging Pole Action](#)
- [May 15, 2024 - SHLB Support for Assembly Bill 2221](#)
- [February 13, 2024 - SHLB Comments on Poles FNPRM](#)
- [February 13, 2024 - SHLB Response to EEI Petition](#)

## DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY

- [March 21, 2024 - Public Interest Spectrum Letter Regarding ACP Hearing](#)

## WIRELESS & SPECTRUM

- [December 13, 2024 - SHLB Ex Parte Regarding CBRS Band](#)
- [November 6, 2024 - PISC Comments Regarding CBRS NPRM](#)
- [September 6, 2024 - Opposition to NextNav Public Interest Orgs.](#)
- [April 26, 2024 - PISC Reply Comments Regarding 6 GHz Band](#)
- [March 1, 2024 - Spectrum Group NTIA Letter](#)
- [January 2, 2024 - Comments Regarding NSS Implementation](#)

## E-RATE PROGRAM

- [November 5, 2024 - Hotspots FNPRM Reply Comments](#)
- [October 1, 2024 - SHLB & Southern Education Foundation Amicus Brief of the Todd Heath Case](#)
- [September 19, 2024 - SHLB/OTI E-rate Hotspot Petition for Reconsideration](#)
- [August 27, 2024 - SHLB/OTI/Benton Opposition to Molak Petition](#)
- [July 11, 2024 - Ex Parte re. Draft Hotspot Report & Order](#)
- [July 2, 2024 - Ex Parte re. Hotspot NPRM](#)
- [June 13, 2024 - SHLB and OTI Ex Parte re. Cost Control Measures for Anchor-Enabled Networks Under E-Rate](#)
- [May 31, 2024 - Ex Parte re. Cybersecurity Pilot Program](#)
- [May 29, 2024 - Letter re. Cyber Pilot Program Draft Report and Order](#)
- [May 16, 2024 - SHLB/OTI Letter re. Financial Safeguards for Anchor-Enabled Networks Under E-Rate](#)
- [May 13, 2024 - School-Library Opposition to Eyes on the Board Act](#)
- [April 30, 2024 - School-Library Opposition to Eyes on the Board Act](#)
- [April 15, 2024 - SHLB/COSN/ALA Response to Heritage on Hot Spots](#)
- [March 27, 2024 - Ex Parte with Rosenworcel Regarding Hotspots NPRM](#)
- [March 22, 2024 - Ex Parte re. Hotspots NPRM - BVSD](#)
- [March 21, 2024 - Ex Parte re. Hotspots NPRM - East Moline](#)
- [February 8, 2024 - NPRM proposing E-Rate support for Wi-Fi hotspots for remote learning, Addressing the Homework Gap Through the E-Rate Program](#)
- [January 29, 2024 - SHLB/CoSN et al K-12 Cybersecurity Comments](#)
- [January 17, 2024 - SHLB/OTI E-Rate Hotspots NPRM Comments](#)