# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

CONSUMERS' RESEARCH, et al.,	)
Petitioners,	)
V.	) No. 25-60357
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, UNITED STATES OF	) )
AMERICA,	)
Respondents.	)

## **UNOPPOSED MOTION TO HOLD IN ABEYANCE**

## **CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS**

Consumers' Research et al. v. Federal Communications Commission et al. No. 25-60357

The undersigned counsel of record certifies that the following listed persons and entities as described in the fourth sentence of Fifth Circuit Local Rule 28.2.1 have an interest in the outcome of this case. These representations are made in order that the judges of this Court may evaluate possible disqualification or recusal.

## Petitioners

- 1. Consumers' Research. It has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
- 2. Cause Based Commerce, Incorporated. It has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.
  - 3. Edward J. Blum
  - 4. Kersten Conway
  - 5. Suzanne Bettac
  - 6. Robert Kull
  - 7. Kwang Ja Kirby
  - 8. Tom Kirby
  - 9. Joseph Bayly
  - 10. Jeremy Roth
  - 11. Deanna Roth

- 12. Lynn Gibbs
- 13. Paul Gibbs
- 14. Rhonda Thomas
- 15. James Romeo
- 16. Cody Carnett

## Respondents

- 17. Federal Communications Commission
- 18. United States of America

#### Intervenors

- 19. Benton Institute for Broadband & Society
- 20. National Digital Inclusion Alliance
- 21. Center for Media Justice (d/b/a MediaJustice)
- 22. Schools, Health & Libraries Broadband Coalition

## Counsel

- 23. Boyden Gray PLLC: R. Trent McCotter, Jonathan Berry, Michael Buschbacher, and Jared M. Kelson are counsel for Petitioners.
- 24. Federal Communications Commission: James M. Carr is counsel for Respondent FCC.
- 25. United States Department of Justice: Caroline W. Tan is counsel for Respondent United States of America.

26. Andrew Jay Schwartzman is counsel for Intervenors Benton Institute for Broadband & Society, National Digital Inclusion Alliance, and Center for Media Justice (d/b/a MediaJustice).

27. Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis, LLP (HWG LLP): Jason Neal and Sean Lev are counsel for Intervenors Schools, Health & Libraries Broadband Coalition.

/s/ R. Trent McCotter
R. Trent McCotter
Counsel of Record for Petitioners

## **ARGUMENT**

Petitioners move to hold this case in abeyance pending resolution of a closely related matter that is on remand from the Supreme Court. No party opposes this relief.

In *Consumers' Research v. FCC*, 109 F.4th 743 (5th Cir. 2024), this Court, sitting *en banc*, held that certain mechanisms for raising funds for the FCC's Universal Service Fund (USF) violated the nondelegation doctrine. The Supreme Court recently reversed that decision and "remand[ed] for further proceedings." *FCC v. Consumers' Rsch.*, 145 S. Ct. 2482, 2491 (2025).

In the remand proceedings, Petitioners have requested leave to submit supplemental briefing to address a specific issue left open by the Supreme Court. That motion remains pending.

That case addresses the First Quarter 2022 USF contribution factor. Petitioners have lodged Petitions challenging each subsequent quarterly contribution factor, and those cases have all been stayed by the Court pending resolution of the lead case. *See Consumers' Rsch. v. FCC*, Nos. 22-60195, 22-60363, 23-60359, 23-60525, 24-600006, 24-60160, 24-60330, 24-60494, 24-60667, 25-60164 (5th Cir.).

The Court should stay this case, too, given that it raises similar issues as the lead case now pending before the *en banc* Court. Petitioners' opening brief in this new action is otherwise due September 22, 2025.

August 15, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R. Trent McCotter

R. Trent McCotter

Counsel of Record

Jonathan Berry

Michael Buschbacher

Jared M. Kelson

BOYDEN GRAY PLLC

800 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 900

Washington, DC 20006

202-706-5488

tmccotter@boydengray.com

Counsel for Petitioners

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE** 

I hereby certify that this brief complies with the type-volume limitations of

Fifth Circuit Rule 27.4 and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2) because it

contains 203 words, excluding the portions exempted by Rule 27(a)(2)(B). This brief

complies with the typeface and type style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate

Procedure Rule 32(a)(5)–(6) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced

typeface using Microsoft Word in Times New Roman and 14-point font.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R. Trent McCotter

Counsel for Petitioners

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic

service are being served today with a copy of this document via the Court's

CM/ECF. All parties in this case are represented by counsel consenting to electronic

service.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R. Trent McCotter

Counsel for Petitioners

7