

# **SHLB Coalition USF Congressional Policy Meeting Notes**

8.12.25

#### **Action Items**

- Comment on USF congressional reform by COB 8/22
- Sign up for Oct 29-31 <u>AnchorNets Conference 2025</u>

### I. USF Litigation

- A. Updates from the 5th Circuit
  - 1. Consumers' Research motioned the 5th Circuit for supplemental briefing regarding two sections of Section 254 (47 U.S.C. §§ 254(c)(3) and (h)(2)).
  - 2. SHLB and the government have opposed supplemental briefing. Our argument is that these issues were not originally preserved at court.
  - Unclear as to what the next steps are as we wait for the court's decision.
    Consumers' may file a new case based on the merits presented in Gorsuch's dissent
  - 4. We continue to retain HWG and expect a response in the next 30-45 days
  - 5. We are following the FCC
- B. Consumers' Research Comments for Q4 2025
  - Consumers' also recently filed <u>comments to the FCC</u> related to the Q4 2025 USF contribution factor. They intend to continue filing new opposition every quarter when new contribution rates are set.
    - a) In their comments, they've included arguments regarding the constitutionality of 47 U.S.C. §§ 254(c)(3) and (h)(2).

### II. Senate USF Working Group

- A. New <u>public comment</u> round is open as of the prior Friday
  - Questions are almost exactly the same as those two years ago; this is a good thing, as it allows us to use our previous filing to help guide current recommendations.
- B. Outline version of the new questions and our prior responses, open for comments
  - 1. No formal deadline, but adding your feedback by 8/22 would be ideal.
  - 2. Email kcorra@shlb.org with questions
- C. Discuss: SHLB's previous comments; what do we need to update?
  - 1. KC and JW are already hard at work marking sections that are out of date, or need to be updated/expanded upon.

 Areas for expansion: Given our current place, we need more examples of the benefits of USF programs, particularly in the E-Rate and Rural Health Care sections. We'll use our fly-in materials from April to give these sections more specific data

#### D. Transparency additions

1. See our response to the FCC <u>Delete Delete Delete</u> initiative; we plan to incorporate our recommendations laid out in this proceeding

#### E. Contribution reform

- 1. Here, our message will revolve around advocating for a fund that is long-term and sustainable
  - a) We're also strongly opposed to it being subject to annual appropriations

#### 2. Questions and comments:

- a) —: Emphasis on the fact that the program is being funded by taxes on voice service, and it makes much more sense to look at growing services, such as high-speed broadband. Would like an explicit statement that there needs to be a change and increase in collections.
  - (1) JW: Yes, we're explicitly acknowledging that as we did in 2023
- b) —: Additionally, the Lifeline program needs to be modernized, even if that requires a reduction in criteria.
- c) —: Ensuring waste does not occur is a major part of contribution reform;
  volunteer to help with rural healthcare call

#### F. Lifeline and High Cost comments

- 1. Kristen Corra (KC): Our previous comments did not call to modernize Lifeline into an ACP-like program. Instead, we called for a separate, new ACP program into USF. How do folks feed about this approach today?
- 2. Questions and comments:
  - a) -: It could be dangerous to equate Lifeline's future with whether or not there is an ACP; as such, it makes sense to keep them separate
  - b) -: USF is stronger with all of the programs
  - c) —: There are opportunities to advocate for anchors within programs like the High Cost fund, so we should advocate within that fund
  - d) —: The demands of many of the for-profit rural funds that rely on USF will hold more weight. West Virginia had very high participation rates in Lifeline.

#### III. Event Reminders

- A. Oct 29-31 AnchorNets Conference 2025
- B. Aug 19 Chicago SBLN Reception

SHLB's next USF Congressional policy group call will reconvene on September 9, 2025 at 2:00 pm ET.

#### **CHAT**

14:02:36 From Kristen Corra, SHLB to Everyone:

**USF** Litigation

Updates from the 5th Circuit

Consumers' Research Comments for Q4 2025

Senate USF Working Group

New public comment round is openDiscuss: SHLB's previous comments; what do we need to update?

14:13:57 From Kristen Corra, SHLB to Everyone:

Comment portal: https://www.fischer.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/usf

14:14:16 From Kristen Corra, SHLB to Everyone:

Our 2023 comments:

https://assets.noviams.com/novi-file-uploads/shlbc/SHLB\_Responses\_to\_Senate\_USF\_Working\_Group\_RFC\_-\_8\_25\_23.pdf

14:15:06 From Kristen Corra, SHLB to Everyone:

Here's an outline version of the new questions and our prior responses. You can add your comments here:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ATSkkTetArWt2zP-vlrYmPicvIIRKz9oTpMCrbrPU7Y/edit?tab=t.0

14:18:53 From to Everyone:

{3C6C9B73-88A9-43F0-9541-8F604FBADF72}.png

14:19:54 From Elle Thompson (she/her) to Everyone:

Replying to "{3C6C9B73-88A9-43F0-9541-8F604FBADF72}.png":

where can we find this map online? Want to make sure it ends up in notes

### 14:39:37 From to Everyone:

What is your timeline for comments from members?

### 14:40:24 From Joey to Everyone:

No formal deadline, but by 8/22 would be ideal.

### 14:47:23 From to Everyone:

Yes, and...:)

### 14:48:38 From Kristen Corra, SHLB to Everyone:

Replying to "No formal deadline, but by 8/22 would be ideal.":

8/22 would be a good target. Then we can draft and have time to send out the draft for comment prior to the deadline

## 14:51:02 From Joey to Everyone:

https://www.shlb.org/events/anchornets-conference-2025

## 14:51:20 From Joey to Everyone:

https://www.shlb.org/chicago-sbln-reception

## 14:52:32 From to Everyone:

I joined late- could you share the doc again?

### 14:52:54 From to Everyone:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ATSkkTetArWt2zP-vlrYmPicvIIRKz9oTpMCrbrPU7Y/edit?tab=t.0

### 14:54:01 From to Everyone:

They just announced a new tariff on anchornet registrations. Get in now!!!