



June 4, 2026

The Honorable Mike Johnson
Speaker
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Steve Scalise
Majority Leader
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries
Democratic Leader
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable John Thune
Majority Leader
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Charles Schumer
Democratic Leader
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Speaker Johnson, Leader Scalise, Leader Jeffries, Leader Thune, and Leader Schumer:

The undersigned organizations are concerned by recent public reporting that legislative proposals being considered as part of the reauthorization of Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) would restrict law enforcement access to information that is critical to identifying public safety threats, locating victims, and solving crimes. Restricting access to this information would have significant public safety consequences and make it more difficult for us to protect the communities we serve. We strongly opposed the *Fourth Amendment Is Not For Sale Act (FANFSA)* during the 118th Congress because of the significant impact it could have on

criminal investigations. We are deeply concerned that similar restrictions may now be advancing as part of a negotiated package without meaningful consultation with the law enforcement community.

These concerns are rooted in how modern investigations are conducted. Information available through lawful commercial sources is often most valuable during the earliest stages of an investigation, when investigators are working to identify credible leads, assess threats, locate victims, and prevent additional harm. These tools help law enforcement investigate violent crime, drug trafficking, human trafficking, child exploitation, terrorism, threats of mass violence, and other serious offenses. Restricting access to this information would create substantial operational challenges for agencies across the country and could prevent investigators from identifying critical leads when they are needed most.

Given the potentially significant consequences for criminal investigations and public safety operations, we are concerned that proposals affecting law enforcement access to commercially available information may be advancing without meaningful consultation with the agencies that would be directly responsible for operating under these changes. Law enforcement practitioners should have the opportunity to review legislative text, assess operational impacts, and provide input before such provisions are incorporated into any legislative package.

We recognize the importance of protecting Americans' privacy and civil liberties and remain committed to working constructively with Congress to address legitimate privacy concerns. We stand ready to work with you to find balanced solutions that protect privacy while ensuring law enforcement agencies retain the tools needed to identify threats, locate victims, investigate serious crimes, and protect public safety.

Sincerely,

Association of State Criminal Investigative Agencies (ASCIA)
Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association (FLEOA)
International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)
Major Cities Chiefs Association (MCCA)
Major County Sheriffs of America (MCSA)
National Association of Police Organizations (NAPO)
National Alliance of State Drug Enforcement Agencies (NASDEA)
National District Attorneys Association (NDAA)
National Fusion Center Association (NFCA)
National High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA) Directors Association (NHDA)
National Narcotic Officers' Associations' Coalition (NNOAC)
National Real Time Crime Center Association (NRTCCA)
National Sheriffs' Association (NSA)
Sergeants Benevolent Association NYPD (SBA)