An Exploratory Study of...

School Board-Superintendent Relationships in Minnesota

October 2010 MASA Fall Conference Dr. Mary Ann Nelson

Superintendent Feedback

- Survey link sent by email to...
 333 current superintendents
 49 recently-retired superintendents (100% MASA members)
- Total Sample = 382
- Total Respondents = 213 or 56%
- PLUS Interviews with 10 superintendents

Finding #1: SB Relationships

- SURVEY said: 95% "VERY GOOD" or "GOOD"
- Interviewees said:
 100% "VERY GOOD" or "GOOD"
 8/10 predicted most others at "GOOD"
 2/10 predicted even lower ratings

Finding #2: HIGH Survey Ratings

Effectiveness? 99% "VERY GOOD" or "GOOD" Evaluation by School Board? 90% "VERY FAIR" or "FAIR" process 86% "EXCELLENT" or "GOOD" ratings Job Satisfaction? 88% "VERY GOOD" or "GOOD"

Finding #3: Many Links Evident

SB Relationship? Other Job Factors? "VERY GOOD"

• Highest ratings for Satisfaction Effectiveness Fairness of Evaluation Most Recent Rating School Board Leadership

"GOOD" "POOR"

• Lower ratings • Even lower ratings

Finding #4: MN & AASA Similar

MINNESOTA		AASA		
48%	1-999	32%	1-999	
30%	1,000-2,999	33%	1,000-2	
47%	3 hrs or less	49%	3 hrs o	
44%	Leadership	42%	Leade	
#1 Support is SB		#1 Support i		
#2 Support is Skills		followed		
#1 Inhibitor is \$		#1 Inhibitor		

% 1,000-2,999 % 3 hrs or less % Leadership 1 Support is **Skills**, followed by SB

1 Inhibitor is \$

Finding #5: "WORKING WELL"

<u>SB Policies, Individual Behaviors</u>: Board Values (78%) Board Policy-Making Role (70%) Individual Authority Limits (70%) Serve Student/District Interests (63%) Communications with Staff (50%)

Finding #6: "OF CONCERN TO ME"

<u>SB Policies, Individual Behaviors</u>: Board Values (22%) Board Policy-Making Role (30%) Individual Authority Limits (30%) Serve Student/District Interests (28%) Communications with Staff (36%)

Issue: OUTLIER Board Behaviors

Superintendents report "SOM	E" or	"NO"
Board Values	14%	8%
Board Policy-Making Role	16%	14%
Individual Authority Limits	19%	11%
Serve Student/District Interests	15%	23%
Communications with Staff	16%	33%

Finding #7: "MOST IMPORTANT"

Superintendents interviewed said:

- Board Policy-Making Role is separate from Superintendent Role to Administer Operations (4 of 10)
- Board Requirement to Serve District/Students and Not Be Conflicted by Group Loyalties (4 of 10)
- All 5 Behaviors are "highly important"

KEY CONCEPTS from Literature

- Increasing Political Pressures
- Role Confusion
- "Trustee Role" vs. "Delegate Role"
- "Lone Ranger" Role
- Zone of Acceptance for Decisions
- "Power over Others" vs. "Power with Others"
- Teamwork in Atmosphere of Mutual Respect

Finding #8: TIPS for Relationships

- #1 Key Factor is Communications
- Importance of the School Board Chair
- Joint Training by MSBA or NSBA
- Work Through Roles and Expectations
- FORM STORM NORM PERFORM
- One-on-One Relationship with Each Member
- Effective Superintendent = Effective Teacher

Implications for Practice

- SB-Superintendent Relationships are Critical
- Get Board Policies & Practices in Place
- Individual Board Members Should Align Behaviors with SB Policies, Practices
- Plan Development Training (inc. Conflict)
- Identify Outlier Behaviors that Undermine Effective Board Governance vs. Preserving Individual Member Role & Contributions

Questions are Welcome!

- See the MASA WEB SITE for both: 42-pg Full Study Report & Report of Individual Survey Responses
- OR Contact me: Mary Ann Nelson

man@q.com 612-836-0120