

Extra Territorial A Montana Experience




















Your Extra Territorial Request for the employer listed below has been Approved.

Employer Name: ON CALL ROOFERS INC Request Number: ET24-00071 Approved Date: 03/14/2024 Expiration Date: 05/27/2024

Per Section 39-71-402, Montana Code Annotated, with concurrence by the authorities of your state.

Please be advised that sole proprietors, working members of a partnership or limited liability partnership, working members of member managed limited liability companies, and working managers of manager managed limited liability companies engaged in the construction industry, must be personally covered by the workers' compensation policy, or have an Independent Contractor Exemption Certificate (IC EC) per section 39-71-417. Montana Code Annotated.

Construction Contractors must also register per section 39-9-201, Montana Code Annotated. Please visit our website at mtcontractor.mt.gov or call our office at 406-444-7734 for assistance.

If contractors and/or workers are not compliant with contractor registration and workers' compensation requirement, applicable fines may apply.

Thank you,







2024 Montana Incoming & Outgoing Extra Territorial Requests

| Jurisdiction | Out Going | In Coming | Total |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Wyoming | 113 | 81 | 194 |
| Idaho | 55 | 99 | 154 |
| North Dakota | 25 | 44 | 69 |
| Washington | 14 | 16 | 30 |
| Utah | 9 | 12 | 21 |
| Oregon | 8 | 9 | 17 |
| South Dakota | 10 | 1 | 11 |

We Asked Our Agreement States

- 1. Besides us/MT what other states do you have agreements with?
- 2. With any of those other states do you have any restrictions, like "no construction"?
- 3. Any agreements with any Canadian Provinces?

Besides MT what other states do you have agreements with?


| Washington | Montana | | Oregon | Idaho | Wyoming | Utah | | South Dakota | Nevada | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|------------|--------|-------|---------|------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------|----------|------|---------|---------|----------|------|-------|------------------|
| Oregon | Montana | Washington | | Idaho | | | North Dakota | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Idaho | Montana | Washington | Oregon | | Wyoming | Utah | North Dakota | | Nevada | | | | | | | | | |
| Wyoming | Montana | Washington | Oregon | Idaho | | Utah | North Dakota | | Nevada | California | Colorado | Ohio | | | | | | |
| Utah | Montana | Washington | Oregon | Idaho | Wyoming | | North Dakota | South Dakota | Nevada | California | | | Florida | Indiana | Maryland | Ohio | Texas | West Virginia |
| North Dakota | Montana | Washington | | Idaho | Wyoming | Utah | | South Dakota | | | | | | | | | | |
| South Dakota | Montana | Washington | | | Wyoming | Utah | North Dakota | | | | | | | | | | | |

With any of those other states do you have any restrictions, like "no construction"?

| State Specific Restrictions | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|------|
| Jurisdiction | Washington | Montana | Oregon | North Dakota | Florida | Nevada | Ohio |
| Wyoming | | | | | | Construction | |
| Idaho | | | | | | | |
| North Dakota | | Construction | | | | | |
| Washington | | Construction | | | | Construction | |
| | | | | | | | 90 |
| Utah | | | | | 10 Days | Construction | Days |
| Oregon | | Construction | | | | | |
| South Dakota | | | | | | | |
| Montana | Construction | | Construction | Construction | | | |

Any agreements with any Canadian Provinces?

| Wyoming | No | |
|--------------|----|--|
| Idaho | No | |
| North Dakota | No | |
| | | WA States authorization to enter agreements |
| Washington | No | includes provinces of Canada RCW51.12.120(7) |
| Utah | No | |
| Oregon | No | |
| South Dakota | No | |
| Montana | No | |



Provincial Employer

With regards to two of our Canadian owned trucking companies and workers compensation. For the first company, we haul vehicles from Alberta down to Montana 2 times a week, the second company is less. One or two loads. All our employees reside in province and are Canadian Citizens.

We were told by a Montana Carrier that because we have a provincial policy, we are fine and not required to have a Montana state policy. Are you able to clarify whether we need a Montana State policy?

Analysis

To be bound by the Montana Workers' Compensation Act, an employer must meet the definition of employer in Montana Code Annotated 39-71-117 and the employees must meet the definition of employee in Montana Code Annotated 39-71-118.

Response

As described your Canadian owned trucking companies may not meet the definition of employer in Montana. likewise, your employees may not meet the definitions of employee in Montana.

It is important for all companies conducting operations in Montana to review Montana's definitions and determine whether they are subject to the Montana Workers' Compensation Act.

Establishing a business location in Montana t may result in your company meeting the Montana definition of employer & subject to the Montana Workers' Compensation Act. Likewise, the hire of a Montana resident may result in your employee meeting the Montana definition of employee and your company subject to the Montana Workers' Compensation Act.

JASON SWANT

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR & INDUSTRY
EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS DIVISION
PHONE (406) 444-6451 FAX 406-444-4140
JSWANT@MT.GOV

