

CMBE 2025 BMES CMBE Conference

"Cell Engineering for Mechanomedicine and Rejuvenation"

January 3-6, 2025 Cape Rey Carlsbad Beach Hilton | Carlsbad, CA



Graduate Student Travel Award Eligibility: Applicants must have had an independent lab for no more than 6 years at the time of application. Abstracts should present work from the applicant's independent lab.

<u>Significance</u> Criteria	Example Characteristics and Suggested Scores	Max Score	Assigned Score
Scores should reflect the importance of the <u>work presented in the abstract,</u> with respect to the relevant literature and the work's impact to the field of CMBE. Studies providing mechanistic insight, developing new technologies, and/or enabling translational activities are of particular interest	 5: Reveals long sought-after mechanism / Presents ground-breaking technology / Describes translational approach to major clinical need / Other equivalent accomplishment 4: Reveals important mechanism / Presents exciting new technology / Describes translational approach to minor clinical need / Other equivalent accomplishment 3: Refines well-studied mechanism / Present a new technology/ Refines existing translational approach / Other equivalent accomplishment 2: Focuses on technical details or approaches of some interest to the CMBE field 1: Focuses on technical details or approaches largely not of general interest to the CMBE field 	5	
Innovation			
Criteria	Example Characteristics and Suggested Scores	Max Score	Assigned Score
Scores should reflect the overall conceptual and/or technical innovation the <u>work presented in abstract</u> with respect to current approaches in CMBE. Approaches enabling studies in new sub-fields of CMBE, probing new scales/concepts in existing sub-fields, or integrating diverse sub-fields are of particular interest	 5: Study opens new sub-field, probes existing sub-field in new way, integrates multiple subfields for the first time, and/or other equivalent characteristic 4: One of first studies in new sub-field, using new techniques, integrating multiple sub-fields, and/or other equivalent characteristic 3: Focuses on an established field of research 2: A confirmative study using novel approaches 1: Largely a confirmative study using established techniques 	5	
Technical Content			
Criteria	Example Characteristics and Suggested Scores	Max Score	Assigned Score
Presented results are quantitative, clearly, and concisely summarized, sufficiently powered, potentially repeatable, and appropriately interpreted	 5: All aspects of technical content are high quality 4: Most aspects of technical content are high quality 3: Some aspects of technical content are high quality 2: Few aspects of technical content are high quality 1: Very few aspects of technical content are high quality 	5	
Writing Style and Figure Presentation			
Criteria	Example Characteristics and Suggested Scores	Max Score	Assigned Score
Writing style is high quality: lacking excessive technical jargon, is clear and concise, has a logical flow, lacks typos, and communicates main points effectively. Figures are of high quality, easily read, and readily convey important aspects of data	 5: All aspects of writing and figures are high quality 4: Most aspects of writing and figures are high quality 3: Some aspects of writing and figures are high quality 2: Few aspects of writing and figures are high quality 1: Very few aspects of writing and figures are high quality 	5	

<u>Criteria</u>

Productivity of the investigator, <u>based on career stage, standards of</u> <u>pertinent CMBE sub-field, and in reference to the biosketch.</u>

Impact of the investigator's entire body of work, <u>based on career stage and</u> <u>in reference to the biosketch</u>.

Overall investigator score: This score should reflect the overall quality of the investigator's <u>entire body of work based on career stage and in</u> <u>reference to the biosketch</u>. As this is a research award, primary emphasis should be placed on research achievements. Secondarily, other contributions, such as those to education and service, may be considered. <u>Applicants may alter their biosketch from strict NIH/NSF guidelines to</u> <u>highlight key contributions</u>.

Overall Score of Application

Criteria

The overall application score should represent the overall quality of the application as subject to the opinion of the reviewer in response to a wholistic review of the entire application.

Promoting Diversity, Equity, and Inclusivity

Criteria

A major goal of the CMBE SIG is to support and promote a vibrant, diverse, equitable and inclusive research community. In your opinion, will the applicant contribute to a fuller representation of perspectives within the CMBE SIG? This is intended to be a holistic assessment that considers any of a broad range of factors that could be relevant to CMBE, including, but not limited to, demonstrated leadership, resilience/persistence, background, life experience, culture, race, ethnicity, work, and dedicated to projects or causes. <u>Applicants are encouraged alter their biosketch from</u> <u>strict NIH/NSF guidelines to highlight relevant factors, if they wish.</u> Example Characteristics and Suggested Scores

5: Exceptional productivity, 4: Outstanding Productivity, 3: Good Productivity, 2: Average Productivity, 1: Weak Productivity, 2: Average Productivity, 1: Weak Productivity, 2: Average Productivit

5: Exceptional Impact, 4: Outstanding Impact, 3: Good Impact, 2: Average Impact, 1: Less than Average Impact

5: Exceptional Body of Work, 4: Outstanding Body of Work, 3: Good Body of Work, 2: Average Body of Work, 1: Le of Work

Reviewer Comments, Score Driving Factors

Explanation

	Max Score	Assigned Score
Productivity	5	
	5	
Less than Average Body	-	
	5	
	<u>Max Score</u>	Assigned Score
	5	
		Answer
		Answer
	Y/N?	