Reviewers Name:	Insert Your Name Here
-----------------	-----------------------

## **DIVERSITY LECTURE AWARD EVALUATION FORM**

CONFIDENTIAL - For Internal BMES Subcommittee Use Only

Individual/Project/Organization Name	Average Score	Scores	Work conforms to and supports the goal	RUBRIC AREA 2 Work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs	Clearly describes the community served	Made a measurable impact through	RUBRIC AREA 5 Plans to continue work in diversity
	0	Reviewer 1 0					
		Reviewer 2 0					
		Reviewer 3 0					
		Reviewer 4 0					

Score - lowest=best & highest=poor

## **RUBRIC AREAS**

AREA 1: Nomination Summary demonstrates nominee's work conforms to and supports the goal of increasing diversity in biomedical engineering. Scale 1 to 10:

- 1 many examples of contributions to diversity in biomedical engineering.
- 10 no examples of contributions to diversity in biomedical

AREA 2: Nomination Summary identifies nominee's work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs. Scale 1 to 10:

- 1 strong demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.
- 10 no demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.

AREA 3: Nomination Summary clearly describes the community served and reach of the nominee's work in diversity.
Scale 1 to 10:

- 1 clear description of community served and reach.
- 10 no description of community served and reach.

AREA 4: Nomination Summary supports nominee has made a measurable impact through their work in improving diversity in biomedical engineering.
Scale 1 to 10:

- 1 strong examples of measured impact.
- 10 no examples of measured impact.

AREA 5: Nomination Summary includes nominee's plans to continue work in diversity.
Scale 1 to 10:

- 1 Strong demonstration of plans to continue work in diversity.
- 10 No demonstration of plans to continue work in diversity.