



Analysis of Asphalt Acceptance Data

Howie Moseley

Flexible Pavement Committee Meeting
July 20, 2023

Purpose

- The purpose of the analysis is to determine the effectiveness of the FDOT materials acceptance program for asphalt.
- The findings of these analyses are documented and submitted annually to the Florida Division of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).
- This report presents the findings of the analysis conducted for test results from samples obtained during calendar year 2022.

Overview

- **For this analysis, test data was compiled from the FDOT Materials Acceptance and Certification system (MAC), and included the following sample types:**
 - Quality Control (QC)
 - Verification (VT)
 - Independent Verification (IV)
 - Process Control (PCX)
 - Process Control Split (PCS)

The data sets were compared to the pass/fail criteria identified in the master production ranges for both dense-and open-graded mixtures, and failure rates for each of the specified material properties were calculated for QC, VT, IV, PCS, and PCX samples on a statewide, and district basis.

Dense-Graded Mixture Failure Rates – Air Voids

| District | % AV | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|
| | QC | | | VT | | | IV | | | PCS | | | PCX | | |
| | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure |
| 1 | 1 | 674 | 0.15% | 5 | 228 | 2.19% | 3 | 141 | 2.13% | 0 | 139 | 0.00% | 1 | 396 | 0.25% |
| 2 | 2 | 717 | 0.28% | 0 | 234 | 0.00% | 6 | 200 | 3.00% | 2 | 200 | 1.00% | 1 | 1085 | 0.09% |
| 3 | 0 | 513 | 0.00% | 3 | 177 | 1.69% | 5 | 176 | 2.84% | 1 | 164 | 0.61% | 4 | 531 | 0.75% |
| 4 | 0 | 498 | 0.00% | 1 | 202 | 0.50% | 3 | 157 | 1.91% | 1 | 136 | 0.74% | 0 | 588 | 0.00% |
| 5 | 3 | 719 | 0.42% | 7 | 273 | 2.56% | 11 | 221 | 4.98% | 2 | 210 | 0.95% | 4 | 1356 | 0.29% |
| 6 | 0 | 168 | 0.00% | 0 | 57 | 0.00% | 1 | 56 | 1.79% | 0 | 51 | 0.00% | 0 | 121 | 0.00% |
| 7 | 0 | 437 | 0.00% | 1 | 165 | 0.61% | 2 | 125 | 1.60% | 3 | 124 | 2.42% | 1 | 718 | 0.14% |
| TP | 5 | 566 | 0.88% | 6 | 209 | 2.87% | 8 | 145 | 5.52% | 2 | 132 | 1.52% | 3 | 809 | 0.37% |
| Totals | 11 | 4292 | 0.26% | 23 | 1545 | 1.49% | 39 | 1221 | 3.19% | 11 | 1156 | 0.95% | 14 | 5604 | 0.25% |
| Previous CY | 17 | 4336 | 0.39% | 25 | 1608 | 1.55% | 43 | 1155 | 3.72% | | | | | | |

Open-Graded Mixture Failure Rates – Binder Content

| District | % AC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|
| | QC | | | VT | | | IV | | | PCS | | | PCX | | |
| | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure |
| 1 | 5 | 114 | 4.39% | 2 | 36 | 5.56% | 0 | 22 | 0.00% | 0 | 22 | 0.00% | 0 | 102 | 0.00% |
| 2 | 0 | 88 | 0.00% | 0 | 27 | 0.00% | 4 | 28 | 14.29% | 1 | 28 | 3.57% | 0 | 85 | 0.00% |
| 3 | 0 | 74 | 0.00% | 0 | 20 | 0.00% | 2 | 21 | 9.52% | 1 | 21 | 4.76% | 0 | 20 | 0.00% |
| 4 | 0 | 89 | 0.00% | 3 | 26 | 11.54% | 2 | 26 | 7.69% | 0 | 20 | 0.00% | 1 | 35 | 2.86% |
| 5 | 0 | 198 | 0.00% | 2 | 58 | 3.45% | 4 | 43 | 9.30% | 4 | 37 | 10.81% | 3 | 189 | 1.59% |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 7 | 0 | 53 | 0.00% | 1 | 15 | 6.67% | 0 | 12 | 0.00% | 0 | 12 | 0.00% | 0 | 48 | 0.00% |
| TP | 1 | 33 | 3.03% | 1 | 9 | 11.11% | 0 | 4 | 0.00% | 0 | 4 | 0.00% | 2 | 16 | 12.50% |
| Totals | 6 | 649 | 0.92% | 9 | 191 | 4.71% | 12 | 156 | 7.69% | 6 | 144 | 4.17% | 6 | 495 | 1.21% |
| Previous CY | 32 | 1310 | 2.44% | 22 | 399 | 5.51% | 18 | 300 | 6.00% | | | | | | |

Open-Graded Mixture Failure Rates – No. 4 Sieve

| Passing #4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|
| District | QC | | | VT | | | IV | | | PCS | | | PCX | | |
| | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure | Failure | Test | % Failure |
| 1 | 0 | 114 | 0.00% | 0 | 36 | 0.00% | 1 | 22 | 4.55% | 2 | 22 | 9.09% | 0 | 102 | 0.00% |
| 2 | 0 | 88 | 0.00% | 2 | 27 | 7.41% | 2 | 28 | 7.14% | 0 | 28 | 0.00% | 0 | 85 | 0.00% |
| 3 | 0 | 74 | 0.00% | 0 | 20 | 0.00% | 3 | 21 | 14.29% | 0 | 21 | 0.00% | 0 | 20 | 0.00% |
| 4 | 1 | 89 | 1.12% | 2 | 26 | 7.69% | 1 | 26 | 3.85% | 0 | 20 | 0.00% | 0 | 35 | 0.00% |
| 5 | 1 | 198 | 0.51% | 3 | 58 | 5.17% | 7 | 43 | 16.28% | 3 | 37 | 8.11% | 1 | 189 | 0.53% |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 7 | 1 | 53 | 1.89% | 1 | 15 | 6.67% | 1 | 12 | 8.33% | 0 | 12 | 0.00% | 0 | 48 | 0.00% |
| TP | 0 | 33 | 0.00% | 0 | 9 | 0.00% | 0 | 4 | 0.00% | 0 | 4 | 0.00% | 0 | 16 | 0.00% |
| Totals | 3 | 649 | 0.46% | 8 | 191 | 4.19% | 15 | 156 | 9.62% | 5 | 144 | 3.47% | 1 | 495 | 0.20% |
| Previous CY | 10 | 1310 | 0.76% | 11 | 399 | 2.76% | 17 | 300 | 5.67% | | | | | | |

Question from Jim Musselman

- Why do IV Splits always have a lower failure rate than IV samples?
Especially with FC-5?



Questions?