Academy Outstanding Educator Award Judging Rubric

AWARD DESCRIPTION: This award is intended to recognize an outstanding educator. The educator must have been or currently be associated with at least one of the NCOPE accredited educational programs. This educator must have demonstrated unique didactic or laboratory skills which create a positive and permanent impression on the students he/she teaches.

Nominator must demonstrate how nominee meets each criteria, providing specific examples.

Prerequisites: The nominee must be an Academy member in good standing and be affiliated with an NCOPE-accredited program.

This rubric ensures a fair and thorough evaluation process, recognizing the educator who has demonstrated exceptional skills and made a significant impact on students in NCOPE accredited educational programs.

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
Demonstration of Unique Didactic	Exhibits exceptional and innovative didactic skills that significantly	
Skills	enhance student learning and engagement.	
Demonstration of Unique	Demonstrates exceptional and innovative laboratory skills that greatly	
Laboratory Skills	improve hands-on student experiences.	
Positive and Permanent	Leaves a profound and lasting positive impression on a large number of	
Impression on Students	students through teaching and mentorship.	
Program Development	Plays a key role in the development and enhancement of educational programs, contributing significantly to curriculum design and implementation.	
	Has received numerous recognitions and awards for excellence in education from reputable organizations.	
FINAL SCORE OUT OF 25		

Scoring Guidelines

- **5 points**: Nomination describes at least five of these behaviors.
- 4 points: Nomination describes at least four of these behaviors.
- 3 points: Nomination describes at least three of these behaviors.
- 2 points: Nomination describes at least two of these behaviors.
- 1 point: Nomination describes at least one of these behaviors.
- **0 points**: Nomination provided no information on this criterion.

Evaluation Process

- 1. Nomination Review: Each nominee's accomplishments and contributions will be reviewed and scored individually based on the criteria.
- 2. Scoring: Each judge will score the nominees on a scale of 0 to 5 for each criterion.
- 3. Total Score: The scores from all criteria will be summed to obtain the total score for each nominee.
- 4. Discussion and Consensus: Judges will discuss the scores and provide justifications. A consensus will be reached to select the most deserving candidate.
- 5. Award Decision: The nominee with the highest total score, provided they meet the high standards set by the Board of Directors, will be recommended for the award.