

## Academy Mohamed Amin Award for Humanitarian Service Judging Rubric

**AWARD DESCRIPTION:** The Board may, at its discretion, recognize an outstanding physically disabled individual who has demonstrated the ability to significantly influence and promote the advancement of human welfare through their philanthropic, vocational or avocational activities. This person must be under the care of an Academy member practitioner.

**Nominator must demonstrate how nominee meets each criteria, providing specific examples.**

**Prerequisites:** The nominee must be a patient under the care of an Academy member practitioner.

This rubric ensures a fair and thorough evaluation process, recognizing an outstanding physically disabled individual who has demonstrated the ability to significantly influence and promote the advancement of human welfare through their philanthropic, vocational, or avocational activities.

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
<b>Influence on Human Welfare</b>	Demonstrates exceptional ability to influence and promote the advancement of human welfare through their activities.	
<b>Philanthropic Activities</b>	Has made profound contributions through philanthropic activities that have significantly impacted human welfare.	
<b>Vocational Activities</b>	Has excelled in their vocational activities, demonstrating exceptional impact on human welfare.	
<b>Avocational Activities</b>	Has demonstrated exceptional influence through avocational activities, significantly advancing human welfare.	
<b>Under Care of an Academy Member Practitioner</b>	Is currently or has recently been under the care of an Academy member practitioner.	
<b>Overall Impact</b>	The individual's overall activities have had a profound and lasting positive impact on human welfare.	
<b>FINAL SCORE OUT OF 30</b>		

### Scoring Guidelines

- 5 points:** Nomination describes at least five of these behaviors.
- 4 points:** Nomination describes at least four of these behaviors.
- 3 points:** Nomination describes at least three of these behaviors.
- 2 points:** Nomination describes at least two of these behaviors.
- 1 point:** Nomination describes at least one of these behaviors.
- 0 points:** Nomination provided no information on this criterion.

### Evaluation Process

- 1. Nomination Review:** Each nominee's accomplishments and contributions will be reviewed and scored individually based on the criteria.
- 2. Scoring:** Each judge will score the nominees on a scale of 0 to 5 for each criterion.
- 3. Total Score:** The scores from all criteria will be summed to obtain the total score for each nominee.
- 4. Discussion and Consensus:** Judges will discuss the scores and provide justifications. A consensus will be reached to select the most deserving candidate.
- 5. Award Decision:** The nominee with the highest total score, provided they meet the high standards set by the Board of Directors, will be recommended for the award.