

Academy Clinical Commitment Award Judging Rubric

AWARD DESCRIPTION: This award is intended to recognize those individuals who demonstrate high levels of creativity in process or device development that improve orthotic and/or prosthetic patient care.

Nominator must demonstrate how nominee meets each criteria, providing specific examples.

Prerequisites: The nominee must be an Academy member in good standing.

This rubric ensures a fair and thorough evaluation process, recognizing individuals who have demonstrated high levels of creativity in process or device development that significantly improve orthotic and/or prosthetic patient care.

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
Creativity in Process or Device Development	Demonstrates exceptional creativity in developing processes or devices that significantly enhance patient care.	
Impact on Patient Care	The innovation has a profound and lasting positive impact on orthotic and/or prosthetic patient care.	
Practicality and Feasibility	The process or device is highly practical and feasible for widespread implementation in clinical settings.	
Innovation and Originality	The development showcases exceptional innovation and originality, breaking new ground in the profession.	
Sustainability and Long-Term Benefits	The process or device demonstrates high sustainability and offers long-term benefits to patient care.	
Recognition and Adoption by Peers	The innovation is highly recognized and adopted by peers in the orthotic and prosthetic community.	
FINAL SCORE OUT OF 30		

Scoring Guidelines

5 points: Nomination describes at least five of these behaviors.

4 points: Nomination describes at least four of these behaviors.

3 points: Nomination describes at least three of these behaviors.

2 points: Nomination describes at least two of these behaviors.

1 point: Nomination describes at least one of these behaviors.

0 points: Nomination provided no information on this criterion.

Evaluation Process

1. Nomination Review: Each nominee's accomplishments and contributions will be reviewed and scored individually based on the criteria.

2. Scoring: Each judge will score the nominees on a scale of 0 to 5 for each criterion.

3. Total Score: The scores from all criteria will be summed to obtain the total score for each nominee.

4. Discussion and Consensus: Judges will discuss the scores and provide justifications. A consensus will be reached to select the most deserving candidate.

5. Award Decision: The nominee with the highest total score, provided they meet the high standards set by the Board of Directors, will be recommended for the award.