

Academy Clinical Commitment Award Judging Rubric

AWARD DESCRIPTION: This award is intended to recognize those Academy members who have quietly demonstrated a commitment to The Code of Professional Responsibility and to improving the professional image of the orthotic and prosthetic profession to patients, co-workers, and colleagues. This award shall be considered for presentation on an annual basis or as deemed necessary by the Board of Directors.

Nominator must demonstrate how nominee meets each criteria, providing specific examples.

Prerequisites: The nominee must be an Academy member in good standing.

This rubric ensures a fair and thorough evaluation process, recognizing the Academy member who has demonstrated an exceptional commitment to The Code of Professional Responsibility and has significantly improved the professional image of the orthotic and prosthetic profession.

CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
Commitment to The Code of Professional Responsibility	Demonstrates an exceptional and unwavering commitment to The Code of Professional Responsibility in all actions.	
Improving the Professional Image to Patients	Has significantly improved the professional image of the orthotic and prosthetic profession to patients through exemplary conduct and dedication.	
Improving the Professional Image to Co-Workers	Has significantly enhanced the professional image of the profession to co-workers through exemplary teamwork and leadership.	
Improving the Professional Image to Colleagues	Has significantly advanced the professional image of the profession to colleagues through outstanding professionalism and collaboration.	
Quiet Commitment and Consistency	Demonstrates an exceptional and consistent commitment to the profession quietly and humbly over a long period.	
Overall Impact on the Profession	Has had a profound and lasting positive impact on the orthotic and prosthetic profession through all efforts.	
FINAL SCORE OUT OF 30		

Scoring Guidelines

- 5 points:** Nomination describes at least five of these behaviors.
- 4 points:** Nomination describes at least four of these behaviors.
- 3 points:** Nomination describes at least three of these behaviors.
- 2 points:** Nomination describes at least two of these behaviors.
- 1 point:** Nomination describes at least one of these behaviors.
- 0 points:** Nomination provided no information on this criterion.

Evaluation Process

- 1. Nomination Review:** Each nominee's accomplishments and contributions will be reviewed and scored individually based on the criteria.
- 2. Scoring:** Each judge will score the nominees on a scale of 0 to 5 for each criterion.
- 3. Total Score:** The scores from all criteria will be summed to obtain the total score for each nominee.
- 4. Discussion and Consensus:** Judges will discuss the scores and provide justifications. A consensus will be reached to select the most deserving candidate.
- 5. Award Decision:** The nominee with the highest total score, provided they meet the high standards set by the Board of Directors, will be recommended for the award.