

Box and Block Test (BBT): Reference Guide

Outcomes Research Committee Resource created by Rachel Rudolf & Barber Prosthetics

Introduction

The Box and Block Test (BBT) is an outcome measure used to assess and monitor unilateral upper extremity manual dexterity¹. It has been found to be a valid and reliable outcome measure in a variety of populations including people with Cerebral Palsy¹(CP), Fibromyalgia², Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT)³, Multiple Sclerosis^{4,8}(MS), Stroke^{5,6,7,8,9,10}, Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)^{5,8}, traumatic upper extremity injury¹¹, children^{12,13} and community-dwelling adults^{14,15,16}.

Establishing author: Ayres and Holser¹

Data Type: Ratio

Measurement Type: Performance-based

Assessment Type: Observer

Required Resources

Time: ~5 minutes

Personnel: 1 clinician

Equipment: Standard table, chair, stopwatch, Box and Blocks kit

Space: Clinic room

Cost: One time cost of ~\$200 to purchase Box and Block box, no cost to administer test

Test Administration

Box and Block set-up includes: a wooden box with dimensions 21.5" by 10.1" divided into two equal compartments by a wooden partition with a height of 6.0". The box should be opened and placed on a table top in a horizontal orientation in front of the patient, who is seated in a chair. All cubes of 1" are placed on one side of the partition and the patient is asked to transfer as many blocks as they can in 60 seconds to the other side of the box¹. The blocks must be transferred one at a time, the patient must only use one hand and the hand should cross the partition in the transfer. The score is indicated by the number of blocks that can be transferred in 60 seconds. The patient should be given a minimum of 15 seconds to practice prior to beginning the test and should start with the dominant hand. At least two trials should be done for each hand and the score averaged.

For standardized instructions see <https://www.sralab.org/sites/default/files/2017-06/Box%20and%20Blocks%20Test%20Instructions.pdf>.

Psychometric Properties

Reliability.

Excellent test-retest reliability was found in the populations with CMT³, children ages 3-10¹², stroke^{8,9}, MS⁸, TBI⁸, and traumatic upper extremity injury or amputation (ICC=0.95-0.96, 0.85, 0.963, 0.98, and 0.91, respectively). Excellent interrater reliability was established for populations with fibromyalgia², children ages 3-10¹², stroke^{8,9}, MS⁸, TBI⁸ and adult¹⁶ (ICC = 0.85, 0.99, 0.993, respectively). Excellent intra-rater reliability was established for populations with fibromyalgia (ICC=0.90)².

Validity.

In community-dwelling adults, moderate correlation with the BBT was found with the 9HP, NMSE, and MVPT-3 ($r=0.357$, 0.420 , and 0.341 , respectively)¹⁴. Construct validity was established for populations with Fibromyalgia as the BBT is able to distinguish between the control and fibromyalgia groups². In children ages 3-10, there was a significant correlation with the MABC-2¹². In pediatric populations with CP, there was a strong correlation with MACS ($r=-0.81$)¹³. In populations with stroke, MS, and TBI, concurrent validity was found to have a strong correlation with the following tests: Grip Strength ($r=0.87$)⁵, TEMPA ($r=0.73-0.78$)⁵, Fugl-Meyer Assessment (motor) ($r=0.91-0.92$)^{5,8}, Action Research Arm Test ($r=0.64-0.95$)^{8,5}, Motricity Index ($r=0.798$)⁵, UE STREAM ($r=0.76$)¹⁰, 9HP ($r=-0.71$)⁷, MAL-QOM ($r=0.522$)⁷, and SIS Hand Function ($r=0.52$)⁷. Moderate correlation was found with the Fugl-Meyer Assessment (joint movement and pain) ($r=0.43$)⁵, Barthel Index ($r=0.44$)⁵, FMA ($r=0.35$)⁷, and MAL-AUO ($r=0.49$)⁷. In the traumatic upper extremity injury and/amputation group, there was a strong correlation with the AM-ULA and a moderate correlation with the UNB skill and spontaneity ($r=0.63$ and $0.42-0.43$, respectively)¹¹.

Responsiveness.

In populations with CMT, the MDC(95) has not been established, however, a change of 11.5 blocks/minute has been suggested³. In populations with MS, a deterioration MIC of between -3.48 and -5.23 was noted⁴. The SRM was found to be between 0.67-0.74 in the stroke population and 0.56 in other populations^{7,15}. The SRD was found to be 5.5 blocks/minute in the stroke population⁹. The MDC(95) was found to be 7.7 in the traumatic upper extremity injury group¹¹.

Interpretation

Table 1. Normative BBT data for ages 3-5

Age	Hand	Mean (blocks/minute)	SD	Range
3	Dominant	24.2	7.4	15-39
	Non-dominant	22.8	6.6	12-35
4	Dominant	35.7	7.3	16-45
	Non-dominant	34.1	8.8	11-49
5	Dominant	40.6	6.7	27-56
	Non-dominant	38.7	5.8	21-47

Gathered from sample of 215 participants aged 2-10 (101 Male, 114 Female)¹²

Table 2. Normative BBT data for ages 6-19

Age	Hand	Male			Female		
		Mean (blocks/minute)	SD	Range	Mean (blocks/minute)	SD	Range
6-7	R	54.5	6.6	48-77	57.9	5.3	44-68
	L	50.7	6.3	36-67	54.2	5.6	43-67
8-9	R	63.4	4.3	55-76	62.8	5.1	53-76
	L	60.1	4.9	53-71	60.4	5.2	52-71
10-11	R	68.4	6.9	53-81	70.0	7.6	52-85
	L	65.9	6.8	52-82	67.6	8.6	54-91
12-13	R	74.6	8.3	57-92	73.6	8.1	57-89
	L	72.4	8.2	58-87	70.5	6.2	55-83
14-15	R	76.6	8.7	61-94	75.4	8.5	61-94
	L	74.6	7.9	57-86	72.1	7.6	58-88
16-17	R	80.3	8.7	62-101	77.0	9.0	50-92
	L	77.6	5.1	71-87	74.3	9.1	54-91
18-19	R	79.9	8.9	58-96	77.9	9.4	56-94
	L	79.2	8.8	60-93	76.0	8.5	51-90

Gathered from sample of 471 participants aged 6-19 (231 Male, 240 Female)¹

Table 3. Normative BBT data for adults

Age	Hand	Male			Female		
		Mean (blocks/minute)	SD	Range	Mean (blocks/minute)	SD	Range
20-24	R	88.2	8.8	70-105	88.0	8.3	67-103
	L	86.4	8.5	70-102	83.4	7.9	66-99
25-29	R	85.0	7.5	71-95	86.0	7.4	63-96
	L	84.1	7.1	69-100	80.9	6.4	63-93
30-34	R	81.9	9.0	68-96	85.2	7.4	75-101
	L	81.3	8.1	69-99	80.2	5.6	66-92
35-39	R	81.9	9.5	64-104	84.8	6.1	71-95
	L	79.8	9.7	56-97	83.5	6.1	72-97
40-44	R	83.0	8.1	69-101	81.1	8.2	60-97
	L	80.0	8.8	59-93	79.7	8.8	57-97
45-49	R	76.9	9.2	61-93	82.1	7.5	68-99
	L	75.8	7.8	60-88	78.3	7.6	59-91
50-54	R	79.0	9.7	62-106	77.7	10.7	56-94
	L	77.0	9.2	60-97	74.3	9.9	54-85
55-59	R	75.2	11.9	45-97	74.7	8.9	56-94
	L	73.8	10.5	43-94	73.6	7.8	54-85
60-64	R	71.3	8.8	52-84	76.1	6.9	60-82
	L	70.5	8.1	47-82	73.6	6.4	61-89
65-69	R	68.4	7.1	55-80	72.0	6.2	60-82
	L	67.4	7.8	48-86	71.3	7.7	61-89
70-74	R	66.3	9.2	50-86	68.6	7.0	53-80
	L	64.3	9.8	45-84	68.3	7.0	51-81
75+	R	63.0	7.1	47-75	65.0	7.1	52-79
	L	61.3	8.4	46-74	63.6	7.4	51-81

Taken from sample of 628 participants ages greater than 19 (310 Male, 318 Female). Peak manual dexterity reached within age group of 20-24¹⁶.

Table 4. Average BBT scores for upper extremity amputees¹¹

Average scores for UE levels	Known-group validity
Level	Average (blocks/minute)
Transradial	13.4
Transhumeral	9.1
Shoulder	4.5

Limitations

As the focus of the BBT is primarily on grasp and release ability, this does not adequately assess all domains within activities of daily living and thus limiting the applicability of the results¹¹.

Documentation in Clinical Notes

Example: Jane has a transradial amputation. Last month she scored 59 blocks/minute with her sound hand and 8 blocks/minute with her old prosthesis. Today, Jane scored 61 blocks with her sound side. This score falls below the mean of 73.6 blocks/minute but within the range of 54 – 85 blocks/minute for established age and gender normative values. On her affected side, she scored 17 blocks. This score falls above the average of 13.4 blocks/minute for people with transradial amputations. In comparison to her old prosthesis, there was a change of 9 blocks/minute. This is above the established MDC indicating that there was an improvement with the new prosthetic device.

Acknowledgement: This document format was adapted from material published by The Australian Orthotic and Prosthetic Association, Inc.

Disclaimer: The authors, Outcomes Research Committee, and the American Academy of Orthotists and Prosthetists recommend use of outcome measures in routine clinical practice. Selection of specific outcome measures should be based on the patient, setting, and application. No recommendation of any particular outcome measure over another is made or implied. The authors declare no conflict of interest in the presentation of this measure.

References

1. Mathiowetz, V., Federman, S., & Wiemer, D. (1985). Box and Block Test of Manual Dexterity: Norms for 6–19 Year Olds. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 52(5), 241–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000841748505200505>
2. Canny, M. L., Thompson, J. M., & Wheeler, M. J. (2009). Reliability of the Box and Block Test of Manual Dexterity for use with patients with fibromyalgia. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 63(4), 506–510. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.63.4.506>
3. Svensson, E., & Häger-Ross, C. (2006). Hand function in Charcot-Marie-Tooth: Test-retest reliability of some measurements. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 20(10), 896–908. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215506072184>
4. Paltamaa, J., Sarasoja, T., Leskinen, E., Wikstrom, J., & Malkia, E. (2008). Measuring Deterioration in International Classification of Functioning Domains of People With Multiple Sclerosis Who Are Ambulatory. *Physical Therapy*, 88(2), 176–190. <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20070064>
5. Connell, L. A., & Tyson, S. F. (2012). Clinical reality of measuring upper-limb ability in neurologic conditions: A systematic review. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2011.09.015>
6. Park, J.-H., & Park, J.-H. (2016). The effects of game-based virtual reality movement therapy plus mental practice on upper extremity function in chronic stroke patients with hemiparesis: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 28(3), 811–815. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.28.811>
7. Lin, Keh-chung, Chuang, Li-ling, Wu, Ching-yi, Hsieh, Yu-wei, Chang, W. (2010). Responsiveness and validity of three dextrous function measures in stroke rehabilitation. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 47(6), 563–572.
8. Platz, T., Pinkowski, C., van Wijck, F., Kim, I. H., di Bella, P., & Johnson, G. (2005). Reliability and validity of arm function assessment with standardized guidelines for the Fugl-Meyer Test, Action Research Arm Test and Box and Block Test: A multicentre study. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 19(4), 404–411. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0269215505cr832oa>
9. Chen, H. M., Chen, C. C., Hsueh, I. P., Huang, S. L., & Hsieh, C. L. (2009). Test-retest reproducibility and smallest real difference of 5 hand function tests in patients with stroke. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, 23(5), 435–440. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968308331146>
10. Ahmed, S., Mayo, N. E., Higgins, J., & Salbach, N. M. (2003). The Stroke Rehabilitation Assessment of Movement (STREAM): A Comparison With Other Measures Used to Evaluate Effects of Stroke and Rehabilitation. *Physical Therapy*, 83(7), 617–630. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/83.7.617>
11. Resnik, L., & Borgia, M. (2017). Systematic Review of Measures of Impairment and Activity Limitation for Persons With Upper Limb Trauma and Amputation. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 98, 1863–1892.e14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2017.01.015>

12. Jongbloed-Pereboom, M., Nijhuis-Van Der Sanden, M. W. G., & Steenbergen, B. (2013). Norm scores of the box and block test for children ages 3-10 years. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 67(3), 312–318. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2013.006643>
13. Ohrvall, A.-M., Krumlind-Sundholm, L., & Eliasson, A.-C. (2013). Exploration of the relationship between the Manual Ability Classification System and hand-function measures of capacity and performance. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 35(11), 913–918. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2012.714051>
14. Song, C.-S. (2015). Relationship between visuo-perceptual function and manual dexterity in community-dwelling older adults. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 27(6), 1871–1874. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.1871>
15. Julien, M., D'Amours, J., Leduc, M. P., Côté, A. C., Oziel Rodier, R., Demers, L., & Desrosiers, J. (2017). Responsiveness of the Box and Block Test with Older Adults in Rehabilitation. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics*, 35(3–4), 109–118. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02703181.2017.1356897>
16. Mathiowetz, V., Volland, G., Kashman, N., & Weber, K. (1985). Adult norms for the Box and Block Test of manual dexterity. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy. : Official Publication of the American Occupational Therapy Association*, 39(6), 386–391. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.39.6.386>



AMERICAN ACADEMY OF ORTHOTISTS & PROSTHETISTS

Your trusted education resource

24/7/365

Box and Blocks Test (BBT): Reference Guide

Outcome Measure

Box and Blocks Test (BBT)

Instrument Review Version

v1.0

Version Date

September 1, 2019

Instrument Review Authors

Rachel Rudolf & Barber Prosthetics

All requests for additional information and any recommended updates/corrections to the content may be directed to:

Tyler Klenow, MSOP, MBA, LCPO, FAAOP

Outcomes Research Committee

Attn: Outcome Measurement "How-To" Video Series

Phone: (202) 380-3663

Fax: (202) 380-3447

Website: www.oandp.org

Email: orc@oandp.org